

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/674,824	10/674,824 10/01/2003		Yoshihiro Nakata	P68040US1	8769		
136	7590	03/23/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		IAN PLLC	SERGENT,	SERGENT, RABON A			
400 SEVE		EET N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHING	GTON, DO	20004	1711				

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W
1
V
•

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/674,824	NAKATA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Rabon Sergent	1711		

before the rilling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rabon Sergent	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) by reduce any
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expine a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a Notice of Appeal has been filed. 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omonliant Amaamdus out	(DTOL 224)
 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompilani Amendmeni	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate		_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 4-7</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidate	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	
13. Other:	,	Rabon Sergeni	1
		Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 1711

Continuation of 3.: Applicants' proposed amendment sets forth claim limitations not previously claimed that would require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11.: Despite applicants' arguments, the position is maintained that the examiner has set forth a proper prima facie case of obviousness, and the position is further taken that applicants have neither established why it would not have been obvious to combine the teachings of the references as set forth nor adequately rebutted the prima facie case of obviousness by such means as a showing of unexpected results.

RABON SERGENT